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## What is a Hash Function?



- $H$ maps an arbitrary length input (the message $M$ ) to a fixed length output (typically $n=128, n=160$ or $n=256$ ).
- no secret parameter.
- $H$ must be easy to compute.


## The security goals

- pre-image resistance: given an output challenge $y$, the attacker can not find a message $x$ such that $H(x)=y$, in less than $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ operations.
- 2nd pre-image resistance: given a challenge $(x, y)$ so that $H(x)=y$, the attacker can not find a message $x^{\prime} \neq x$ such that $H\left(x^{\prime}\right)=y$, in less than $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ operations.
- collision resistance: the attacker can not find two messages $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ such that $H(x)=H\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, in less than $\theta\left(2^{n / 2}\right)$ operations (a generic attack with the birthday paradox exists [Yuval-79]).


## SHA-3 competition

The SHA-3 hash function competition:

- started in October 2008, 64 submissions
- 51 candidates accepted for the first round
- 14 semi-finalists selected in 2009
- $4 / 5 / 6$ finalists to be selected end 2010
- winner to be announced in 2012

Among the 14 semi-finalists, one can identify 4 AES-based candidates. For example ECHO and Grøstl.

## What is an AES-like permutation?



## MixColumns $\circ$ ShiftRows $\circ$ SubBytes $\circ$ AddConstant $(C)$

- AddConstant: in known-key model, just add a round-dependent constant (breaks natural symmetry of the three other functions)
- SubBytes: application of a c-bit Sbox (only non-linear part)
- ShiftRows: rotate column position of all cells in a row, according to its row position
- MixColumns: linear diffusion layer.


## Hash function collision attacks

In general, there are two basic tools in order to find a collision: the differential path building technique and the freedom degree utilization method.

The differential path building techniques (for SHA-1):

- local collisions
- linear perturbation mask
- non-linear parts

The freedom degree utilization methods (for SHA-1):

- neutral bits
- message modifications
- boomerang trails


## Hash function collision attacks

In general, there are two basic tools in order to find a collision: the differential path building technique and the freedom degree utilization method.

The differential path building techniques (for AES-based):

- truncated differential paths

The freedom degree utilization methods (for AES-based):

- rebound attacks
- multiple-inbound attacks
- start-from-the-middle attacks
- super-Sbox attacks
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## Truncated differences

- Originally introduced by Knudsen for block ciphers [Knudsen FSE 1994]
- Later applied to hash functions (collision attack on Grindahl) [Peyrin ASIACRYPT 2007]
- Idea: consider byte-differences, without considering their actual value (active or inactive).
- Only the truncated differences propagation through MixColumns behave probabilistically. Per column: nb active input cells +nb active output cells $\geq r+1$.

$$
P \simeq 2^{-x c} \text { for } x \neq r \text { inactive output cells. }
$$



## Controlled and uncontrolled rounds

- Idea: use the freedom degrees in the middle of the differential path).
- The path is divided into two different kind of steps:
- The controlled rounds: the part where the freedom degrees are used (usually in the middle of the path). On average, finding a solution for the controlled rounds should cost only a few operations.
- The uncontrolled rounds: the part where all the events are verified probabilistically (left and right part of the path) because no more freedom degree is available. Determine the complexity of the overall attack.



## Rebound Attack and Start-from-the-middle

- Rebound attack: allows to get 2 controlled rounds [Mendel et al. FSE 2009]. Requires $2^{r c}$ memory. It broke compression functions of many SHA-3 candidates.
- Start-from-the-middle: use more complicated techniques to get up to 3 controlled rounds in the case of low weight differential paths [Mendel et al. SAC 2009]. Requires $2^{r c}$ memory.



## The Super-Sbox view

- Introduced by Daemen and Rijmen (e.g. [Daemen Rijmen SCN 2006]) to simplify the analysis of AES differential properties and not for cryptanalysis purposes.
- Idea: one can view two rounds of an AES-like permutation as a layer of big $2^{r c}$-bit Sboxes preceded and followed by simple affine transformations. We call those Super-Sboxes



## The controlled rounds in the Super-Sbox view

- One can get 3 controlled rounds, even for high weight differential paths.
- Forward: start with a random (not truncated) difference $\delta_{\text {start }}^{\prime}$ at the beginning of round 2 (such that we obtain a compatible truncated difference $\Delta_{\text {start }}$ when inverting $S B$ and $A C$ ). Then, pass $S h R, M C, A C$ and $S h R$ to obtain the aimed input difference $\Delta_{i n}$ on the $r$ Super-Sboxes.
- Backward: start with a random (not truncated) difference $\Delta_{\text {end }}$ at the end of round 4, and invert MC and ShR in order to obtain the aimed output difference $\Delta_{\text {out }}$ on the $r$ Super-Sboxes.
- Problem: need the ability to find for each of the $r$ columns, a value that maps $\Delta_{\text {in }}$ to $\Delta_{\text {out }}$... seems hard.



## The controlled rounds

- Idea: pay a big price ( $2^{r c}$ operations and memory), but get many solutions ( $2^{r c}$ ) once you paid.
- 1st step: Fix a random $\Delta_{\text {start }}^{\prime}$ difference value, which gives a fixed random $\Delta_{i n}$. For each of the $r$ Super-Sboxes, exhaust all $2^{r c}$ possible actual values, then sort the results in $r$ tables according to the output difference obtained.
- 2nd step: try $2^{r c}$ distinct $\Delta_{\text {end }}$ differences. Then, for each $\Delta_{\text {out }}$ obtained by computing backward, check if for all the $r$ columns the appropriate $2^{r c}$-bit difference is present in the corresponding table. On average, one solution is found per $\Delta_{\text {end }}$ try.
- The average complexity for finding one internal state pair verifying the controlled rounds is 1 .



## The uncontrolled rounds

8-round path:

- On the left side, one has one $4 \mapsto 1$ MixColumns transition to control (round 1 ): $P \simeq 2^{-(r-1) c}$
- On the right side, one has one $4 \mapsto 1$ MixColumns transition to control (round 5): $P \simeq 2^{-(r-1) c}$
- Total complexity for finding a solution for the whole path: $2^{2(r-1) c}$ operations.


One has also to check that we have enough freedom degrees, such that a valid pair can be found.

## Limited-birthday distinguishers

What is the generic complexity for mapping $i$ fixed-difference bits to $j$ fixed-difference bits through a random permutation $E$ ?

Wlog, assume that $i \geq j$ and let $n:=r^{2} c$. Due to the birthday paradox, each structure of $2^{n-i}$ input values obtained by fixing the value of the $i$ fixed-difference bits allows to get fixed-difference on $2(n-i)$ output bits:

- if $j \leq 2(n-i)$, then one can select $2^{j / 2}$ input values from one single structure and this suffices to achieve a collision on the $j$ target positions. The attack complexity is about $2^{j / 2}$.
- if $j>2(n-i)$, then about $2^{j-2(n-i)}$ structures have to be used to obtain a collision on the $j$ prescribed positions. Overall, the complexity of the attack is about $2^{n-i} \times 2^{j-2(n-i)}=2^{i+j-n}$.

Same reasoning for the $n-j$ free difference bits on the output and attacking $E^{-1}$ :

- if $i \leq 2(n-j)$, then the attack complexity is about $2^{i / 2}$.
- if $i>2(n-j)$, then the attack complexity is about $2^{i+j-n}$.

Final complexity: $\max \left\{2^{j / 2}, 2^{i+j-n}\right\}$.

## Results on AES and Grøstl

Table: Results on the underlying permutation

| target | rounds | computational <br> complexity | memory <br> requirements | type | source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AES | 7 | $2^{24}$ | $2^{16}$ | known-key-dist. | [Mendel et al. SAC 2009] |
|  | 8 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{32}$ | known-key-dist. | [Gilbert Peyrin FSE 2010] |
| Grøst 1-256 | 7 | $2^{56}$ |  | distinguisher | [Mendel et al. SAC 2009] |
| permutation | 8 | $2^{112}$ | $2^{64}$ | distinguisher | [Gilbert Peyrin FSE 2010] |

Table: Results on the compression function

| target | rounds | computational <br> complexity | memory <br> requirements | type | source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grøstl-256 | 6 | $2^{120}$ | $2^{64}$ | semi-free-start coll. | [Mendel et al. FSE 2009] |
|  | 7 | $2^{64}$ | $2^{64}$ | semi-free-start coll. | [Mendel et al. SAC 2009] |
|  | 7 | $2^{120}$ | $2^{64}$ | semi-free-start coll. | [Gilbert Peyrin FSE 2010] |
| function | 7 | $2^{56}$ |  | distinguisher | [Mendel et al. SAC 2009] |
|  | 8 | $2^{112}$ | $2^{64}$ | distinguisher | [Gilbert Peyrin FSE 2010] |

* Results also independently obtained by Lamberger et al.
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## Grøstl compression function



## Round $i$ of permutations $P$ and $Q$ :



MixColumns ○ ShiftRows ○ SubBytes $\circ$ AddConstant $(C)$

## The internal differential attack

Problem: all previous attacks build classical differential paths for the permutation $P$ and $Q$ (allows to reach $8 / 10$ rounds)

Idea: look at the difference between the two parallel branches It works well on Grøstl because $P$ and $Q$ are almost identical (only the constant addition differs)


Let $A$ and $B$ be s.t. $A \oplus B=\Delta_{I N}$ and $Q(A) \oplus P(B)=\Delta_{\text {OUT }}$
We have $h(H, M)=\Delta_{\text {IN }} \oplus \Delta_{\text {OUT }}$

What can we do with such a pair $A$ and $B$ ?

- Distinguishing attack:
- assume $\Delta_{\text {IN }}$ is maintained in a set of $x$ elements
- assume $\Delta_{\text {OUT }}$ is maintained in a set of $y$ elements
- thus $h(H, M)$ is maintained in a set of $k=x \cdot y$ elements
- we can distinguish the Grøstl compression function from an ideal one: such pair $(H, M)$ can be generically obtained with $2^{n} / k$ computations
- one can also distinguish the permutations $P$ and $Q$ from ideal permutations (with "limited birthday distinguishers")
- Collision attack:
- because of a lack of freedom degrees, no improvement for the compression function attacks
- but we can attack $5 / 10$ rounds of the hash function



## An example with 9 rounds:

- we have
- $x=2^{56}$
- $y=2^{128}$
- $k=2^{184}$
- thus the generic complexity is $2^{512-184}=2^{328}$ operations
- we can find a valid candidate with only $2^{80}$ computations and $2^{64}$ memory
- the amount of freedom degrees only allows us to compute one such candidate, but generalization of the internal differential attack gives additional freedom degrees


## Results for Grøstl

| target | rounds | computational complexity | memory requirements | type | section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grøstl-256 comp. function | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 10 \\ 8 / 10 \\ 9 / 10 \\ 10 / 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2^{56} \\ 2^{112} \\ 2^{80} \\ 2^{192} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | distinguisher distinguisher distinguisher* distinguisher* | [Mendel et al. SAC 2009] <br> [Gilbert Peyrin FSE 2010] <br> [Peyrin CRYPTO 2010] <br> [Peyrin CRYPTO 2010] |
| Grøstl-512 comp. function | 11/14 | $2^{640}$ | $2^{64}$ | distinguisher* | [Peyrin CRYPTO 2010] |
| Grøst 1-256 <br> hash function | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 10 \\ & 5 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{79} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | collision collision | [Mendel et al. SAC 2010] <br> [Peyrin CRYPTO 2010] |
| Grøst1-512 <br> hash function | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 14 \\ & 6 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{176} \\ & 2^{177} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{64} \\ & 2^{64} \end{aligned}$ | collision collision | [Mendel et al. SAC 2010] <br> [Peyrin CRYPTO 2010] |

* for these distinguishers, the amount of available freedom degrees allows us to generate only one valid candidate with good probability

Be careful when designing a scheme:
also check the differential paths between the internal branches
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## Results and future works

## The Super-Sbox method:

- a very easy-to-use yet powerful cryptanalysis tool
- provides the best attack against 128-bit AES in the known key model
- also very efficient against AES-based hash functions: ECHO, Grøstl, ... In particular, first distinguishing attack against full Grøstl-256 compression function or internal permutations


## Future works:

- find better differential paths for ECHO ([Sasaki et al. - ASIACRYPT 2010] [Schläffer - SAC 2010])
- derive collision attacks for the Grøstl hash function with internal differential paths ([Ideguchi et al. - eprint 2010])
- try to apply Super-Sbox attack to other schemes (work on SHAvite-3 to be published soon)
- switching attack: switch completely the type of differential path considered between the left and the right controlled rounds and use the Super-Sbox setting in order to link them

